

# Recovering lost time in Syria: New Late Cretaceous (Coniacian-Santonian) elasmosaurid remains from the Palmyrides mountain chain

Wafa A. Alhalabi <sup>a,\*</sup>, Nathalie Bardet <sup>b</sup>, Sven Sachs <sup>c</sup>, Benjamin P. Kear <sup>d</sup>, Issam B. Joude <sup>e</sup>,  
Muhammed K. Yazbek <sup>f</sup>, Pedro L. Godoy <sup>g,h</sup>, Max C. Langer <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Biologia, FFCLRP, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Bandeirantes 3900, 14.040-901 Ribeirão Preto-SP, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> CR2P, Centre de Recherche en Paléontologie – Paris, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CP38, 57 Rue Cuvier, Paris 75005, France

<sup>c</sup> Naturkundemuseum Bielefeld, Abteilung Geowissenschaften, Adenauerplatz 2, Bielefeld 33602, Germany

<sup>d</sup> The Museum of Evolution, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 16, Uppsala SE-752 36, Sweden

<sup>e</sup> Morrison Hershfield, 2932 Baseline Rd, Ottawa, ON K2H 1B1, Canada

<sup>f</sup> Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Baath University, Damascus – Aleppo Highway, Homs, Syria

<sup>g</sup> Department of Zoology, Institute of Biosciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP 05508-090, Brazil

<sup>h</sup> Department of Anatomical Sciences, Stony Brook University, 11794 Stony Brook, New York, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 December 2023

Received in revised form

28 February 2024

Accepted in revised form 1 March 2024

Available online 5 March 2024

### Keywords:

Plesiosauria

Elasmosauridae

Syria

Coniacian-Santonian

Palmyrides

Late Cretaceous

## ABSTRACT

Despite its relatively limited vertebrate fossil record, Syria currently records the largest number of documented Mesozoic marine reptile occurrences among the Middle Eastern countries. In particular, the phosphatic deposits of the Palmyrides mountain chain have yielded fossils of aquatic squamates, bothremydid and chelonoid marine turtles, as well as elasmosaurid plesiosaurs. Nevertheless, new discoveries have not been reported for the last two decades. Here, we describe the partial skeleton of an elasmosaurid plesiosaur from Syria, which comprises the middle and posterior cervical series, together with articulated pectoral, dorsal and anterior caudal parts of the vertebral column, with associated rib fragments. The fossil was excavated from Coniacian-Santonian phosphatic deposits of the Al Sawaneh el Charquieh mines, in the central part of the southwestern Palmyrides, about 200 km northeast of Damascus. The specimen can be assigned to Elasmosauridae based on the cervical centra morphology and, although incomplete, is significant because it not only represents likely the oldest, but also the currently most complete plesiosaur skeleton recovered from the Middle East.

© 2024 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Marine reptile fossils are frequently reported from Mesozoic strata of the Arabian Platform (Polcyn and Eitan, 1999; Bardet et al., 2000, 2008; Bardet, 2012). Although some Triassic and Jurassic remains have been reported, such as those from the Triassic Makhtesh Ramon, in Negev (Rieppel et al., 1999), and Jilh Formation, in central Saudi Arabia (Vickers-Rich et al., 1999; Kear et al., 2010), as well as from the Jurassic Hanifa Limestones near Jizan, southwestern Saudi Arabia (Madden et al., 1995), most records are Late Cretaceous in age. These include mainly squamates (mosasaurs, pachyvaranids, pachyophiids, ophiodomorphs), but also elasmosaurid and polycotyloid plesiosaurs, bothremydid and

chelonoid turtles, and thunnosaurian ichthyosaurs (e.g., Polcyn and Eitan, 1999; Bardet et al., 2000; Tong et al., 2006; Bardet et al., 2008; Kear et al., 2008; Bardet, 2012; Fischer et al., 2013; Rabinovich et al., 2015; Bardet et al., 2021).

Plesiosaurs have so far been described from several regions within the Arabian Platform. Isolated teeth, vertebrae, and limb bones from the Maastrichtian phosphates of Ruseifa, Jordan, were originally described by Arambourg et al. (1959) as *Plesiosaurus mauritanicus* Arambourg, 1952, and later assigned to Elasmosauridae indet (Bardet and Pereda-Suberbiola, 2002). It should be noted that *Plesiosaurus mauritanicus* has been considered a *nomen vanum* (Welles, 1962) or *dubium* (Vincent et al., 2011). Also from Jordan, Kaddumi (2009) reported an incomplete rostrum from the late Maastrichtian of Harrana, named as a new polycotyloid *Rarosaurus singularis*. Yet, its external bone ornamentation, tooth arrangement and implantation are typical of crocodylomorphs (i.e., De Buffrénil et al., 2015),

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [wafaadelhalabi@gmail.com](mailto:wafaadelhalabi@gmail.com) (W.A. Alhalabi).

challenging a plesiosaur affinity (NB and S. Jouve, pers. obs). From Saudi Arabia, a partial elasmosaurid skull and articulated mandible were reported from the Campanian-Maastrichtian Adaffa Formation, at Wadi Azlam (Kear et al., 2008), whereas an elasmosaurid tooth fragment has been reported from the Maastrichtian Aruma Group in northwestern Saudi Arabia (Thomas et al., 1999). In the Negev, the Cenomanian Ma'ayan Netafim beds, near Eilat (Haas, 1958), have produced isolated elasmosaurid vertebrae; with tooth, dentary, vertebrae, and limb bone fragments also recovered from the Santonian Menuha Formation (Rabinovich et al., 2015). Lastly, an isolated tooth from the Maastrichtian Rutbah Formation of Iraq was assigned to *Plesiosaurus mauritanicus* (Arambourg et al., 1959), but later referred to Elasmosauridae indet. (Bardet, 2012).

Syria has a relatively scarce vertebrate fossil record, with slightly over 130 occurrences listed in the Paleobiology Database (Access time: Mon 2023-12-18 15:38:41 GMT), less than half of which representing tetrapods. This includes nearly fifty marine reptile records, including mosasaurids, testudines and elasmosaurids, the highest number among Middle East countries, mostly found in the phosphatic deposits of the Palmyrides mountain chain. All reported elasmosaurid remains are of Maastrichtian age, including isolated teeth and vertebrae from Khneifiss, Charquieh A, and Charquieh B mines, as well as from

outcrops in Bardeh and Soukhneh (see Bardet et al., 2000, figure 1).


Here, we report remains of an articulated elasmosaurid axial skeleton comprising vertebrae and rib fragments (GEGMRD 0001, see [Material and Methods](#)). The specimen was unearthed from the Coniacian-Santonian Rmah Formation in the Al Sawaneh el Charquieh mining area, in the central part of the southwestern Palmyrides mountain chain. It represents the most complete plesiosaur skeleton thus far recorded from the Middle East, and the geologically oldest of such marine reptiles found in Syria. This discovery adds to the knowledge about the marine vertebrate faunas of the region and we hope it marks the renaissance of Syrian vertebrate paleontology after decades in the shadows.

## 2. Institutional abbreviations

AMNH: American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA. ANSP: Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, USA. GEGMRD: General Establishment of Geology and Mineral Resources, Damascus, Syria.

MLP: La Plata Museum, Argentina. NSM: National Science Museum, Tokyo, Japan. SMUSMP: Shuler Museum of Paleontology, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, USA.

UCPM: University of California Museum of Paleontology, USA.



**Fig. 1.** Map of Syria showing Late Cretaceous outcrops and the locality where the elasmosaurid specimen GEGMRD 0001 has been found ( $N34^{\circ}14'25''$ ;  $E38^{\circ}0'43''$ ), modified from Brew et al. (2001).

### 3. Geological settings

GEGMRD 0001 was found close to the Al Sawaneh el Charquieh phosphatic mines (coordinates: N34°14'25"; E38°0'43"), in the central part of the southwestern Palmyrides mountain chain, about 200 km northeast of Damascus and 45 km southwest of Palmyra (Tadmur), Tadmur district, Homs Governorate (Figure 1). It was recovered from phosphatic deposits (Figure 2) of the lower part of the Rmah Formation, Soukhneh Group, of Coniacian-Santonian age (Al Maleh and Mouty, 1994; Bardet et al., 2000; Al Maleh and Bardet, 2003).

The Palmyra fold belt (Figure 1) forms a chain of narrow ridges and folds extending for about 350 km, from the Anti-Lebanon Mountains in the southwest to the Euphrates Graben in the northeast (Brew et al., 2001). This system of NE-SW trending folds exposes the Cretaceous beds of the Soukhneh Group, which covers the Turonian Hallabat Formation and is divided into the Rmah and Sawaneh formations (Figure 2), locally named K5 and K6 (Al Maleh and Mouty, 1994; Bardet et al., 2000). In general, the northern Arabian Platform experienced subsidence after the Turonian (Brew et al., 2001), with an increase in water depth. This is well documented by the increase in marls and decrease in limestones in the Soukhneh Group (Al Maleh and Mouty, 1994).

The Rmah Formation has a thickness ranging from 60 m to 280 m, increasing northwards, and is subdivided into Rmah I and Rmah II (Al Maleh and Bardet, 2003, figure 5). GEGMRD 0001 was collected from the lower section (Rmah I), which is Coniacian-Santonian in age (Figure 2), and comprises conchiferous marl, intercalated with organic limestone layers, followed by a siliceous limestone with nodules, a thin phosphate layer, and a siliceous organic limestone. It interestingly corresponds to an early episode of the Senonian phosphatogenesis in the Palmyrides (Al Maleh and Bardet, 2003). The upper section (Rmah II) is early Campanian in age and composed of a marly limestone with concretions, and intercalated chert layers. The complete section is capped by the late Campanian-Maastrichtian deposits of the Sawaneh Formation, which corresponds to an upwelling episode on the northern flank of the Palmyra fold belt, resulting in the deposition of the main phosphatic deposits, which are mined commercially in Syria (Bardet et al., 2000).

### 4. Material and methods

The here described specimen (GEGMRD 0001) is part of the same individual discovered in 2001 by the late Professors Mikhail Mouty and Khaled Ahmed Al Maleh of Damascus University. Six articulated vertebrae were at the time briefly described by Al Maleh and Bardet (2003), but their provenance was incorrectly stated as being from a different site. In 2010, Mouty and Al Maleh returned to the field to check if additional remains could be recovered and found the rest of the skeleton (M. Mouty, pers. comm. to NB, January 2011). GEGMRD 0001 was collected in situ and in anatomical articulation (Figure 3) by a team from the Syrian General Establishment of Geology and Mineral Resources ([https://geology.gov.sy/?page\\_id=10076](https://geology.gov.sy/?page_id=10076)). It includes 52 articulated vertebrae, as well as numerous rib fragments. The other six cervical vertebrae, previously recovered by the Damascus University team, are currently not found. GEGMRD 0001 is permanently housed at the General Establishment of Geology and Mineral Resources (GEGMRD) in Damascus. The GEGMRD was established in 1977 under official government decree no. 136, as an official institution operating under the Ministry of Petroleum and Mineral Resources. Given that Syria currently lacks a natural history museum or official paleontological collection, an agreement was made to store all fossils collected in the country at




Fig. 2. Generalized lithological log of the Late Cretaceous deposit of the Palmyrides mountain chain, Syria (modified from Al Maleh and Mouty 1994), with elasmosaurid specimen GEGMRD 0001 (“fossils”) positioned based on field notes of the GEGMRD team and on Al Maleh and Bardet (2003). Abbreviations: Fm: formation; Gr: Group.

GEGMRD, which is now the formal public repository for GEGMRD 0001. In fact, this arrangement initiated a numerical system, with the fossil described here as the starting specimen.

GEGMRD 0001 was examined first-hand and mechanically prepared by WAA in 2022. Measurements were taken using a




Fig. 3. Elasmosauridae indet., GEGMRD 0001, Al Sawaneh el Charquieh, Palmyrides Chain, Syria, Rmah Formation, Coniacian-Santonian. A, Outline reconstruction showing preserved elements of the specimen (scale bar = 5 m); B, specimen at its initial state of discovery (photo provided by GEGMRD).

**Table 1**  
Elasmosaurid specimens considered for making the bivariate plots (Figure 7).


| Specimen                       | Collection number                                   | Age                   | Locality   | References                           |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| Elasmosauridae indet.          | GEGMRD 0001   | Coniacian – Santonian | Syria      | This study                           |
| <i>Styxosaurus browni</i>      | AMNH 5835   | Santonian             | USA        | O'Keefe and Hiller (2006)            |
| <i>Elasmosaurus platyrurus</i> | ANSP 18001  | Santonian             | USA        | O'Keefe and Hiller (2006)            |
| <i>Futabasaurus suzukii</i>    | NSM PV15025   | Santonian             | Japan      | Sato et al. (2006)                   |
| <i>Libonectes morgani</i>      | SMUSMP 69120  | Coniacian             | USA        | Welles (1949), Sachs and Kear (2015) |
| Elasmosauridae indet.          | MLP 11-II-20-4, MLP 86-X-28-3,<br>MLP 86-X-28-(2-6) | Santonian?            | Antarctica | O'Gorman (2013)                      |
| Elasmosauridae indet.          | HM3-6, 104, 107-108                                 | Santonian             | Negev      | Rabinovich et al. (2015)             |

digital caliper accurate to 0.01 mm. Vertebral indices follow Welles (1952): anteroposterior length (L), transverse (right-left) width (r-l W), dorsoventral height (d-v H), height index (HI: ratio between height and length as  $100 \cdot H/L$ ), width index (WI: ratio between width and length as  $100 \cdot W/L$ ), width-height index (WHI: ratio between width and height as  $100 \cdot W/H$ ). Both width and height were measured on the best preserved anterior or posterior articular surface of the centrum. The vertebral length index (VLI =  $L/[0.5 \cdot (H + W)]$ ) of Brown (1981) was also calculated. Measurements of incomplete centra are approximate. Body-length estimates following O'Keefe and Hiller (2006) used VLI to distinguish between "elongated" and "non-elongated" elasmosaurid neck morphotypes. The alternative approach of O'Gorman et al. (2019) employed the maximum length of the dorsal series as a proxy for similar length estimates. Bivariate plots with all three measurements (VLI, HI, and WI) were produced following the methodology of Otero (2016) and O'Gorman et al. (2013), with the aim of


comparing the proportions of the GEGMRD 0001 cervical vertebrae, irrespective of their position in the sequence, with those of other Coniacian-Santonian elasmosaurids; specimens included in the analyses are listed in Table 1. Only vertebrae for which we could account for the length, height, and width were included in the analyses (see supplementary data), excluding incomplete ones, thus only 19 out of 46 vertebrae were used to represent *Libonectes morgani* (SMUSMP 69120) and only one for *Elasmosauridae* indet. (MLP 86-X-28-3).

## 5. Description

GEGMRD 0001 includes middle and posterior cervical, pectoral, dorsal, sacral, and some anterior caudal vertebrae (58 in total, including those mentioned by Al Maleh and Bardet, 2003), as well as numerous rib fragments (Figures 4–6). Only the centra are preserved, with the neural arches broken at the base, appearing



**Fig. 4.** *Elasmosauridae* indet., GEGMRD 0001, Al Sawaneh el Charquieh, Palmyrides Chain, Syria, Rmah Formation, Coniacian-Santonian. Observed anatomical features within the vertebrae series. A: C16 in anterior view; B: C5 in right lateral view; C: C3 in anterior view; D: P5 in posterior view; E: P5 in dorsal view; F: D4 in dorsal view; G: D4 in anterior view; H: C4 in ventral view; I: D11 in ventral view. Abbreviations: llr: lateral longitudinal ridge, nc: neural canal, np: notochordal pit, prz: prezygapophyses, ptz: postzygapophyses, vn: ventral notch, vnf: ventral nutritive foramina. Scale bar = 5 cm.



**Fig. 5.** Elasmosauridae indet., GEGMRD 0001, Al Sawaneh el Charquieh, Palmyrides Chain, Syria, Rmah Formation, Coniacian-Santonian. Vertebral series in left lateral view. C1, C3, C6–8, C10–12, C14–15, C17–19, P1, P3, P5, D1, D4–5, D7–9, D12–13, D15–16, D18, S1–2, Ca1, Ca3–4, Ca6 reversed from the right lateral view. Abbreviations: C: Cervical vertebrae; Ca: Caudal vertebrae; D: Dorsal vertebrae; P: Pectoral vertebrae; S: Sacral vertebrae. Scale bar = 5 cm.

fused to the centra. This, together with the marked rim of bone on the articular surfaces and small notochordal pits, suggests that the specimen was osteologically mature (*sensu* Brown, 1981) at the time of death. Most of the centra have well-preserved lateral and ventral surfaces, whereas the anterior and posterior articular faces are either fractured or covered by matrix.

### 5.1. Overall morphology of the vertebral series

GEGMRD 0001 includes middle and posterior cervical centra with shallowly amphicoelous articular surfaces, with a small central notochordal pit (Figure 4A, D) and margins highlighted by a rugose rim of bone. They bear ventral nutritive foramina (generally two, exceptionally three or more) that are sub-central on the cervical vertebrae (Figure 4H), but more laterally placed on the dorsal vertebrae (Figure 4I), separated by a ridge that is either flat or rounded. The neural arches are fused to the centra. When preserved, the neural canal is generally circular anteriorly and triangular posteriorly (Figure 4G). The zygapophyses (Figure 4D–G), when preserved, have a planar, horizontally oriented articular surface; they are connected medially to one another and occupy about 30% of the centrum width. In lateral view, the prezygapophyses projects slightly beyond the articular surface of the centrum, whereas the postzygapophyses do not (Figure 5). All rib facets are single-headed.

### 5.2. Cervical vertebrae

Twenty cervical vertebrae have been recovered, identified here as C1 to C20, with three inferred missing elements, one between C7 and C8, and two between C8 and C9. Based only on their photos, it is not possible to position the six missing posterior cervical vertebrae mentioned by Al Maleh and Bardet (2003) in relation to the other vertebrae. Hence, these altogether represent 29 of the middle to posteriormost neck vertebrae. Accordingly, a significant part of the neck is unpreserved, though it cannot be assessed how many vertebrae are missing anteriorly.

The cervical centra are shallowly amphicoelous, as seen in several elasmosaurid taxa; e.g., *Elasmosaurus platyurus* (Sachs, 2005; Sachs et al., 2013), *Albertonectes vanderveldei* (Kubo et al., 2012), *Libonectes morgani* (Sachs and Kear, 2015, 2017). Distinctly platycoelous cervical centra, on the other hand, are found in *Styxosaurus snowii* (Sachs et al., 2018).

Most cervical centra are broader than long and also broader than high. In the anterior half of the preserved neck segment, the centra are usually longer than high ( $W > L > H$ ), whereas they are higher than long in the posterior half ( $W > H > L$ ) (Table 2). The vertebral length index (VLI *sensu* Brown, 1981) of the cervical vertebrae decreases anteriorly in the preserved neck segment (Table 3). The articular surfaces have a general triangular shape due to cervical rib facets placed ventrolaterally on the centra (Figure 6);

**Table 2**

Measurements (in mm) of vertebral centra of GEGMRD 0001: Length (L); Height (H); Width (W).

| Vertebra | L  | H               | W                |
|----------|----|-----------------|------------------|
| C1       | 86 | 71              | 75               |
| C2       | 84 | 75              | 86               |
| C3       | 82 | 75              | 87               |
| C4       | 84 | 76              | 83               |
| C5       | 83 | 73 <sup>a</sup> | 80 <sup>b</sup>  |
| C6       | 98 | 82              | 86               |
| C7       | 89 | 86              | 93               |
| C8       | 88 | 88              | 80 <sup>b</sup>  |
| C9       | 94 | 87              | 96 <sup>b</sup>  |
| C10      | 87 | 88              | 76 <sup>b</sup>  |
| C11      | 86 | 92              | 86 <sup>b</sup>  |
| C12      | 87 | 91              | 98 <sup>b</sup>  |
| C13      | 87 | 81              | 94 <sup>b</sup>  |
| C14      | 83 | 93              | 106 <sup>b</sup> |
| C15      | 88 | 96              | 108 <sup>b</sup> |
| C16      | 86 | 93              | 110 <sup>b</sup> |
| C17      | 84 | 86              | 130 <sup>b</sup> |
| C18      | 81 | 99              | 108 <sup>b</sup> |
| C19      | 82 | 97              | 112 <sup>b</sup> |
| C20      | 81 | 98              | 104 <sup>b</sup> |
| P1       | 78 | 99              | 118 <sup>b</sup> |
| P2       | 74 | 102             | 115 <sup>b</sup> |
| P3       | 78 | 102             | 130 <sup>b</sup> |
| P4       | 78 | 103             | 112              |
| P5       | 76 | 104             | 122 <sup>b</sup> |
| D1       | 79 | 101             | 112 <sup>b</sup> |
| D2       | 82 | 108             | 114 <sup>b</sup> |
| D3       | 85 | 106             | 108 <sup>b</sup> |
| D4       | 84 | 107             | 90 <sup>b</sup>  |
| D5       | 88 | 107             | 104 <sup>b</sup> |
| D6       | 87 | 102             | 106 <sup>b</sup> |
| D7       | 87 | 96 <sup>a</sup> | 92 <sup>b</sup>  |
| D8       | 88 | 101             | 100 <sup>b</sup> |
| D9       | 87 | 100             | 100 <sup>b</sup> |
| D10      | 83 | 93 <sup>a</sup> | 96 <sup>b</sup>  |
| D11      | 83 | 91              | 100              |
| D12      | 85 | 89              | 116 <sup>b</sup> |
| D13      | 83 | 85              | 98 <sup>b</sup>  |
| D14      | 76 | 81              | 94 <sup>b</sup>  |
| D15      | 79 | 81              | 88 <sup>b</sup>  |
| D16      | 73 | 79              | 92 <sup>b</sup>  |
| D17      | 76 | 77              | 94 <sup>b</sup>  |
| D18      | 62 | 79              | 74 <sup>b</sup>  |
| S1       | 66 | 75              | 84 <sup>b</sup>  |
| S2       | 64 | 67              | 76 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Ca1      | 58 | 73              | 66 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Ca2      | 57 | 73              | 78 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Ca3      | 54 | 66              | 68 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Ca4      | 49 | 69              | 56 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Ca5      | 46 | 47 <sup>a</sup> | 48 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Ca6      | 47 | 49 <sup>a</sup> | 41 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Ca7      | 39 | 46 <sup>a</sup> | 46 <sup>b</sup>  |

<sup>a</sup> Height was estimated due to incomplete centrum.<sup>b</sup> Width was estimated by calculating the half diameter of the centrum and then duplicate it due to incomplete centrum.

more posteriorly and due to the occurrence of a ventral notch (Figure 4C), these articular faces become more oval, when approaching a “dumbbell”-shape. An equivalent notch is a diagnostic trait present only in latest Cretaceous elasmosaurids (Sachs and Kear, 2015), but typically absent in Early Cretaceous forms, such as *Lagenanectes richterae* (Sachs et al., 2017), *Eromangasaurus australis* (Kear, 2005, 2007), and *Jucha squalea* (Fischer et al., 2020).

The cervical rib facets are generally oriented posterolaterally, with a horizontally elliptical or subcircular outline, and are located in the middle of the centrum, except in the last ones, in which they are in a more posterior position. A lateral longitudinal ridge (Figure 4B) is present in most cervical vertebrae. This character is shared with most non-aristonectine elasmosaurids (Sachs and Kear, 2015), but is also present in other long-necked plesiosaurs

**Table 3**

Measurement indexes of cervical vertebrae of GEGMRD 0001. Height index (HI) 100\*H/L; Width index (WI) 100\*W/L; Width-Height index (WHI) 100\*W/H; and Vertebral length index (VLI) L/(0.5\*(H + W)).

| Cervical vertebra | HI  | WI  | WHI | VLI   |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| C1                | 83  | 87  | 106 | 117.8 |
| C2                | 89  | 102 | 115 | 104.3 |
| C3                | 91  | 106 | 116 | 101.2 |
| C4                | 90  | 99  | 109 | 105.7 |
| C5                | 88  | 96  | 110 | 108.5 |
| C6                | 84  | 88  | 105 | 116.7 |
| C7                | 97  | 104 | 108 | 99.4  |
| C8                | 100 | 91  | 91  | 104.8 |
| C9                | 93  | 102 | 110 | 102.7 |
| C10               | 101 | 87  | 86  | 106.1 |
| C11               | 107 | 100 | 93  | 96.6  |
| C12               | 105 | 113 | 108 | 92.1  |
| C13               | 93  | 108 | 116 | 99.4  |
| C14               | 112 | 128 | 114 | 83.4  |
| C15               | 109 | 123 | 113 | 86.3  |
| C16               | 108 | 128 | 118 | 84.7  |
| C17               | 102 | 155 | 151 | 77.8  |
| C18               | 122 | 133 | 109 | 78.3  |
| C19               | 118 | 137 | 115 | 78.5  |
| C20               | 121 | 128 | 106 | 80.2  |

such as the Jurassic microcleidids *Microcleidus tournemirensis* (Sciau et al., 1990) and *Seeleyosaurus guilelmiiimperatoris* (Fraas, 1910), cryptocleidids such as *Muraenosaurus leedsii* (Seeley, 1874) and *Spitrasaurus* spp. (Knutson et al., 2012), and the Turonian polycotylid *Thililua longicollis* (Bardet et al., 2003).

### 5.3. Pectoral vertebrae


GEGMRD 0001 preserves five pectoral vertebrae (as defined by Sachs et al., 2013), P1 to P5, with the rib facets typically extending across both the centrum and the neural arch (Figure 5). All pectoral centra are wider than high or long ( $W > H > L$ ) (Table 2), with subcircular articular faces. Most rib facets are elliptical in shape, with vertical long axes, posteriorly inclined and located at the anteroposterior midpoint of the centrum. On average, the rib facet accounts for about 70% of the height of the lateral surface of the centrum. The ventral ridge is wider than in the cervical and dorsal vertebrae.

### 5.4. Dorsal vertebrae

A total of eighteen dorsal vertebrae were preserved and numbered from D1 to D18, all with the rib facets positioned entirely on the basal part of the neural arch (Figure 5). The pedicles and the neural canal are well-preserved in D1, D4-5, D7, D9-10, D12, and D15-16 (Figure 6). The dorsal centra are shorter than high or broad, and mostly also wider than high ( $W > H > L$ ) (Table 2). The articular surfaces are subcircular. The shape of the rib facet varies from elliptical and anteroposteriorly elongated, to rectangular, or circular (see Figure 5). All rib facets are posteriorly orientated. Where preserved, the prezygapophyses contact one another medially, forming a continuous concave surface in dorsal view (Figure 4F). The transverse processes, when preserved, exhibit a slight posterior orientation.

### 5.5. Sacral vertebrae

Only two sacral vertebrae have been preserved from a typical count of four in elasmosaurids (Sachs, 2005). Because of the position and size of the rib facets, these are tentatively inferred to represent the first (S1) and second (S2) elements, so that there are



**Fig. 6.** Elasmosauridae indet., GEGMRD 0001, Al Sawaneh el Charquieh, Palmyrides Chain, Syria, Rmah Formation, Coniacian-Santonian. Vertebral series in anterior view. C4, C9, C11, P5, D2-3, D8, D11, D13, S1, Ca3 are illustrated in posterior view due to the centrum incompleteness in anterior view. Abbreviations: C: Cervical vertebrae; Ca: Caudal vertebrae; D: Dorsal vertebrae; P: Pectoral vertebrae; S: Sacral vertebrae. Scale bar = 5 cm.

two or more unpreserved sacral vertebrae. Their rib facets occupy a large area, both anteroposteriorly and dorsoventrally, of the lateral surface of the centra and are positioned below the suture between the centrum and the neural arch, at the dorsoventral midline of the centrum, closer to the posterior margin of the centra (Figure 5). On average, the rib facets account for approximately 50–70% of the centrum height. The sacral centra are shorter than high or broad, and broader than high ( $W \geq H > L$ ) (Table 2). The articular surfaces are subcircular.

### 5.6. Caudal vertebrae

A series of seven successive anterior caudal vertebrae has been found, numbered Ca1 to Ca7. The rib facets are placed in the ventral half of their lateral surfaces (Figure 5). The centrum length

decreases posteriorly, but all centra are shorter than high and wide ( $W = H > L$ ) (Table 2). The articular surfaces are subcircular. The rib facets are relatively small in comparison to those of the sacral vertebrae, almost circular in outline and located near the anteroposterior midpoint of the lateral surface of the centrum, occupying about half of the height of its lateral surface.

## 6. Discussion

### 6.1. Length estimation

With a VLI of 96.2 (see Table 3), GEGMRD 0001 conforms to the “non-elongated” elasmosaurid neck morphotype of O’Keefe and Hiller (2006), but its cervical series is incomplete. Based on the alternative method of O’Gorman et al. (2019), the dorsal series length

of GEGMRD 0001 most closely compares with that of *Hydrotherosaurus alexandrae* (UCPM 33912; Welles, 1943), which has 17 dorsal vertebrae and a DL of 1.47 m, and *Vegasaurus molyi* (MLP 93-I-5-1; O’Gorman 2013), with 17 dorsal vertebrae and a DL of 1.06 m. O’Gorman et al. (2019) estimated the maximum body-lengths of *Hydrotherosaurus alexandrae* and *Vegasaurus molyi* at 7.8 and 6.5 m, respectively. GEGMRD 0001 has 18 dorsal vertebrae with DL = 1.47 m, suggesting a similar body length to the former taxon.

## 6.2. Neck vertebrae proportions


The bivariate plots of cervical vertebrae proportions are shown in Figure 7. In the VLI vs HI plot, GEGMRD 0001 shows lower VLI and higher HI, as in *Futabasaurus suzukii* (NSM PV15025) and most of cervical vertebrae of Elasmosauridae indet. specimens (HM3-6, 104, 107-108; MLP 11-II-20-4, MLP 86-X-28-3, MLP 86-X-28-(2-6)), but unlike other North American taxa, such as *Styxosaurus browni* (AMNH 5835), *Elasmosaurus platyurus* (ANSP 18001), which have higher VLI and lower HI. In the VLI vs WI plot, GEGMRD 0001 resembles *Libonectes morgani* (SMUSMP 69120), with an intermediate position compared to other taxa, such as *Futabasaurus suzukii* (NSM PV15025) with higher WI and lower VLI and *Styxosaurus browni* (AMNH 5835) and *Elasmosaurus platyurus* (ANSP 18001) both with lower WI and higher VLI. Finally, regarding the HI vs WI plot, *Styxosaurus browni* (AMNH 5835), *Elasmosaurus platyurus* (ANSP 18001), and *Libonectes morgani* (SMUSMP 69120) have cervical vertebrae with low HI and WI compared to GEGMRD 0001 and other Coniacian-Santonian elasmosaurids. The generally low VLI and high HI and BI values of GEGMRD 0001 indicate shortened cervical centra (Otero, 2016), unlike North American Coniacian-Santonian elasmosaurids, such as *Styxosaurus browni* (AMNH 5835), *Elasmosaurus platyurus* (ANSP 18001), and *Libonectes morgani* (SMUSMP 69120), but approaching Santonian members of the group from other parts of the world, such as *Futabasaurus suzukii* (NSM PV15025) and Elasmosauridae indet. specimens (HM3-6, 104, 107-108; MLP 11-II-20-4, MLP 86-X-28-3, MLP 86-X-28-(2-6)).

## 6.3. Comparisons and taxonomic assignment

The post-Turonian record of plesiosaurs is so far limited to Elasmosauridae and Polycotyliidae (e.g., Madzia and Cau, 2020). GEGMRD 0001 shows a combination of traits unknown in Polycotyliidae, supporting an assignment to Elasmosauridae (sensu Madzia and Cau, 2020), which are: 1) A neck longer than the trunk. 2) Cervical centra with shallowly amphicoelous to platycoelous articular surface. 3) Longitudinal keel on the lateral surface of the cervical centra. 4) Cervical vertebrae longer than high at least in the anteriorly preserved posterior neck vertebrae. 5) A ventral notch on the posterior cervical vertebrae, giving the articular surfaces a “dumbbell”-shape. 6) A ventral midline keel that is either flat or rounded; this keel is usually sharp in Cretaceous polycotyliids (Madzia and Cau, 2020). 7) Zygapophyses contacting one another medially, bearing planar articulation facets, and having a combined width distinctly lower than that of the centrum (30% of the centrum width); in polycotyliids, the zygapophyses are wider, separated for most of their length, and have concave/convex articulation facets (Madzia and Cau, 2020). 8) Postzygapophyses not extending beyond the posterior surface of the centrum. The absence of cranial and/or appendicular elements with GEGMRD 0001 precludes referral beyond Elasmosauridae indet.

## 6.4. Palaeobiogeographical implications

Though elasmosaurids achieved a worldwide distribution during the Maastrichtian (Vincent et al., 2011), Coniacian-Santonian



**Fig. 7.** Bivariate plots comparing VLI (vertebral length index of Brown [1981]), HI (height index) and WI (width index) of cervical vertebrae from different Coniacian-Santonian elasmosaurids. Specimens used to construct the plots are identified in Table 1.

records of the group are so far restricted to isolated finds in North America (Storrs, 1999; Bell et al., 2014; Armour Smith and O’Keefe, 2023), Japan (Sato et al., 2006), New Zealand (Crampton et al., 2000), Antarctica (O’Gorman 2012), and the Middle East (Rabinovich et al., 2015). In fact, the northern Arabian Platform experienced subsidence after the Turonian (Brew et al., 2001), with an increase in water depth, resulting in a large marine platform, particularly favorable to marine life. As a result, we see an increase in the record of marine vertebrate fossils from Coniacian-Santonian to Maastrichtian deposits in the area. Marine vertebrate remains, including selachians, actinopterygians, and mosasaurid squamates



have recently been described from the Coniacian-Santonian of southeastern Turkey (Bardet et al., 2022). The contemporaneous new plesiosaur described here is, therefore, not only an important addition to the sparse Coniacian-Santonian record of the group worldwide, but also the most skeletally complete and likely the oldest known Cretaceous plesiosaur fossil yet found in the Middle East. Hence, it represents a new important witness of the expansion of marine life in Arabian Platform during the early stages of the Late Cretaceous.

Elasmosaurids were, therefore, clearly an element of the Mediterranean Tethyan marine reptile faunas since at least the mid-Cretaceous, living alongside other assemblage components, such as mosasaurine and platecarpine mosasaurids, small aquatic varanoids, marine snakes, dyrosaurid crocodylomorphs, and bothremydid and chelonoid sea turtles (e.g., Polcyn and Eitan, 1999; Bardet et al., 2000; Tong et al., 2006; Bardet et al., 2008; Kear et al., 2008; Bardet, 2012; Fischer et al., 2013; Rabinovich et al., 2015; Bardet et al., 2021). This implies stable ecosystem dynamics and environmental conditions within what Bardet (2012) defined as the Southern Mediterranean Tethyan province.

## 7. Conclusions

The importance of GEGMRD 0001 lies in its age and geographic provenance. Dating back to the Coniacian-Santonian, it likely represents the oldest Cretaceous plesiosaur from the Middle East, demonstrating the long-standing occupation of the Mediterranean Tethyan region by the group. Additionally, it stands out as the most complete elasmosaurid specimen discovered in the region so far. It is unclear if the paucity of such records in the Middle East is due to lack of more systematic diggings or to any particular taphonomic condition of the related deposits. The Syrian plesiosaur shows typical elasmosaurid traits, but no autapomorphy or combination of characters that would allow the erection of a new taxon. This specimen improves our knowledge of the Cretaceous marine reptile faunas of the Middle East. The new finding also expands the so far limited tetrapod fossil record in Syria. Earlier discoveries of Cretaceous age include marine squamates (Houssaye et al., 2011; Bardet et al., 2000; Bardet et al. in prep.), crocodylians (Bardet et al., 2000; Al Maleh and Bardet, 2003), Testudines (Bardet et al., 2000), and theropod dinosaurs (Hooijer, 1968). This record collectively highlights a potential for further paleontological investigations in Syria, as a promising target for future research. We hope it also represents a precious contribution to the “renaissance” of Syrian paleontology, after decades in the shadows.

## CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Wafa A. Alhalabi:** Writing – original draft. **Nathalie Bardet:** Writing – review & editing. **Sven Sachs:** Writing – review & editing. **Benjamin P. Kear:** Writing – review & editing. **Issam B. Joude:** Writing – review & editing. **Muhammed K. Yazbek:** Writing – review & editing. **Pedro L. Godoy:** Writing – review & editing. **Max C. Langer:** Writing – review & editing.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Max Cardoso Langer reports financial support was provided by State of Sao Paulo Research Foundation. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

## Acknowledgements

This work is dedicated to the enduring scientific legacy of the late profs. Mikhail Mouty and Khaled Ahmed Al Maleh, whose invaluable contributions have greatly enriched Syrian geological and paleontological research. We are thankful to the discovery team from the General Establishment of Geology and Mineral Resources in Damascus, who were among the first individuals to identify the specimen, including the geologists: Farouk Al Emadi, Mohammad Issam Swaideh, Mohammad Talal Beraqda, Mayson Bitar, Abd Elkareem Hassan, Ali Allao and Noshen Abd El Qader. We extend our thanks to all the individuals who played an important role in supporting and facilitating our work in Syria. Special thanks to Dr. Bassam Tomeh, the former Minister of Oil and Mineral Resources; Dr. Nabeeh Khresteen, the former Director of the General Petroleum Corporation; and the dedicated personnel at the General Establishment of Geology and Mineral Resources in Damascus, including Mr. Ghanem Yassin, the former Planning Director, Eng. Ahmad ALKhaled, Lama Abood, Dr. Nour El Khateb, Juliana Ayoub, Hassan Naser, Eng. Ghassan Talab, Dr. Rim Shati, Hanadi Al Barad. Our appreciation also extends to Dr. Mwaffack Chikhali, the general manager of Elard company. We also thank deeply Julia d'Oliveira for producing the artwork. This research was funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP grant number 20/07997-4 to MCL) and by the National Science Foundation (NSF DEB 1754596 to PLG). Finally, many thanks to the referees, Maria-Euridice Paramo and one anonymous reviewer, and the Editor-in-Chief Maria Rose Petrizzo for their constructive comments that allow improving our manuscript.

## References

- Al Maleh, A.K., Bardet, N., 2003. Découverte de niveaux phosphatés associés à des restes de vertébrés dans les dépôts carbonatés du Coniacien–Santonien du Jabal Abtar: épisode précoce de la phosphatogenèse sénonienne des Palmyrides (Syrie centrale). *Comptes Rendus Geoscience* 335 (4), 391–400.
- Al Maleh, A.K., Mouty, M., 1994. Lithostratigraphy of the Senonian phosphorite deposits in the Palmyridean region and their general sedimentological and palaeogeographic framework. In: Ijima (Ed.), 29th international Congress Part C, pp. 225–232.
- Arambourg, C., 1952. Les vertébrés fossiles des gisements de phosphates (Maroc–Algérie–Tunisie). *Notes et Mémoires du Service géologique du Maroc* 92, 1–372.
- Arambourg, C., Dubertret, L., Signeux, J., Sornay, J., 1959. Contributions à la stratigraphie et à la paléontologie du Crétacé et du Nummulitique de la marge NW de la Péninsule arabique. *Notes et Mémoires sur le Moyen-Orient* 7, 193–251.
- Armour Smith, E., O'Keefe, F.R., 2023. Occurrence of *Styxosaurus* (Sauropterygia: Plesiosauria) in the Cenomanian: implications for relationships of elasmosaurids of the Western Interior Seaway. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* 21 (1), 2242846.
- Bardet, N., 2012. Maastrichtian marine reptiles of the Mediterranean Tethys: a palaeobiogeographical approach. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France* 183 (6), 573–596.
- Bardet, N., Pereda Suberbiola, X., 2002. Marine reptiles from the Late Cretaceous Phosphates of Jordan: palaeobiogeographical implications. *Geodiversitas* 24 (4), 831–839.
- Bardet, N., Cappetta, H., Pereda Suberbiola, X., Mouty, M., Al Maleh, A.K., Ahmad, A.M., Khata, O., Gannoum, N., 2000. The marine vertebrate faunas from the Late Cretaceous phosphates of Syria. *Geological Magazine* 137 (3), 269–290.
- Bardet, N., Suberbiola, X.P., Jalil, N.E., 2003. A new polycotyliid plesiosaur from the Late Cretaceous (Turonian) of Morocco. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 2 (5), 307–315.
- Bardet, N., Pereda Suberbiola, X., Schulp, A.S., Bouya, B., 2008. New material of *Carinodens* (Squamata, Mosasauridae) from the Maastrichtian (late Cretaceous) phosphates of Morocco. In: *Proceedings of the Second Mosasaur Meeting*. Fort Hays Studies.
- Bardet, N., Desmares, D., Sanchez-Pellicer, R., Gardin, S., 2021. Rediscovery of '*Liodon asiaticum*' Répelin, 1915, a Mosasaurine (Squamata, Mosasauridae, Mosasaurinae) from the Late Cretaceous of near Jerusalem – Biostratigraphical insights thanks to microfossils. In: Folie, A., Buffetaut, E., Bardet, N., Houssaye, A., Gheerbrant, E., Laurin, M. (Eds.), *Palaeobiology and palaeobiogeography of amphibians and*

- reptiles: An homage to Jean-Claude Rage. *Comptes Rendus Palevol*, vol. 20, pp. 351–372.
- Bardet, N., Guinot, G., Yilmaz, İ.Ö., Hoşgör, İ., 2022. New marine vertebrates (elasmobranchs, actinopterygians, reptiles) from the Upper Cretaceous Arabic Platform of SE Turkey. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 21 (38), 837–845.
- Bell, P.R., Fanti, F., Mitchell, M.T., Currie, P.J., 2014. Marine Reptiles (Plesiosauria and Mosasauridae) from the Puskwaskau Formation (Santonian–Campanian), West-Central Alberta. *Journal of Paleontology* 88 (1), 187–194.
- Brew, G., Barazangi, M., Al-Maleh, A.K., Sawaf, T., 2001. Tectonic and geologic evolution of Syria. *GeoArabia* 6 (4), 573–616.
- Brown, D.S., 1981. The English Upper Jurassic Plesiosauroida (Reptilia) and a review of the phylogeny and classification of the Plesiosauria. *Bulletin of British Museum of Natural History (Geology)* 35, 253–347.
- Crampton, J., Mumme, T., Raine, I., Roncaglia, L., Schiøler, P., Strong, P., Turner, G., Wilson, G., 2000. Revision of the Piripauan and Haumurian local stages and correlation of the Santonian–Maastrichtian (Late Cretaceous) in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics* 43 (3), 309–333.
- De Buffrénil, V., Clarac, F., Fau, M., Martin, S., Martin, B., Pellé, E., Laurin, M., 2015. Differentiation and growth of bone ornamentation in vertebrates: a comparative histological study among the Crocodylomorpha. *Journal of Morphology* 276 (4), 425–445.
- Fischer, V., Appleby, R.M., Naish, D., Liston, J., Riding, J.B., Brindley, S., Godefroit, P., 2013. A basal thunnosaurian from Iraq reveals disparate phylogenetic origins for Cretaceous ichthyosaurs. *Biology Letters* 9 (4), 20130021.
- Fischer, V., Zverkov, N.G., Arkhangelsky, M.S., Stenshin, I.M., Blagovetshensky, I.V., Uspensky, G.N., 2020. A new elasmosaurid plesiosaurian from the Early Cretaceous of Russia marks an early attempt at neck elongation. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 192 (4), 1167–1194.
- Fraas, E., 1910. Plesiosaurier aus dem oberen Lias von Holzmaden. In: *Palaeontographica* (1846–1933), pp. 105–140.
- Haas, G., 1958. Plesiosaur vertebra from the Cenomanian of Ma'ayan Netafim near Elath. *Bulletin of the Research Council of Israel, Section B* 7, 1–2.
- Hooijer, D.A., 1968. A Cretaceous dinosaur from the Syrian Arab Republic. *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, Series B* 71, 150–152.
- Houssaye, A., Bardet, N., Rage, J.C., Suberbiola, X.P., Bouya, B., Amaghaz, M., Amalik, M., 2011. A review of *Pachyvaranus crassispondylus* Arambourg, 1952, a pachyostotic marine squamate from the latest Cretaceous phosphates of Morocco and Syria. *Geological Magazine* 148 (2), 237–249.
- Kaddumi, H.F., 2009. Fossils of the Harrana Fauna and the adjacent areas. In: *The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. Eternal River Museum of Natural History, Amman*, p. 324.
- Kear, B.P., 2005. A new elasmosaurid plesiosaur from the Lower Cretaceous of Queensland, Australia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 25 (4), 792–805.
- Kear, B.P., 2007. Taxonomic clarification of the Australian elasmosaurid genus *Eromangosaurus*, with reference to other austral elasmosaur taxa. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 27 (1), 241–246.
- Kear, B.P., Rich, T.H., Ali, M.A., Al-Mufarrih, Y.A., Matiri, A.H., Masary, A.M., Attia, Y., 2008. Late Cretaceous (Campanian–Maastrichtian) marine reptiles from the Adaffa Formation, NW Saudi Arabia. *Geological Magazine* 145 (5), 648–654.
- Kear, B.P., Rich, T.H., Vickers-Rich, P., Ali, M.A., Al-Mufarrih, Y.A., Matiri, A.H., Al-Masary, A.M., Halawani, M.A., 2010. A review of aquatic vertebrate remains from the Middle–Upper Triassic Jilh Formation of Saudi Arabia. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria* 122 (1), 1–8.
- Knutsen, E.M., Druckenmiller, P.S., Hurum, J.H., 2012. Two new species of long-necked plesiosaurians (Reptilia: Sauropterygia) from the Upper Jurassic (Middle Volgian) Agardhfjellet Formation of central Spitsbergen. *Norwegian Journal of Geology/Norsk Geologisk Forening* 92, 187–212.
- Kubo, T., Mitchell, M.T., Henderson, D.M., 2012. *Albertonectes vanderveldei*, a new elasmosaur (Reptilia, Sauropterygia) from the Upper Cretaceous of Alberta. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 32 (3), 557–572.
- Madden, C.T., Whitmore, F.C., Schmidt, D.L., Naqvi, I.M., 1995. The Umm Himar Formation (Paleocene) of Saudi Arabia and associated strata: stratigraphy, vertebrate fauna, and paleoenvironment. *United States Geological Survey Bulletin* 2093, A1–A19.
- Madzia, D., Cau, A., 2020. Estimating the evolutionary rates in mosasauroids and plesiosaurs: discussion of niche occupation in Late Cretaceous seas. *PeerJ* 8, e8941.
- O'Gorman, J.P., 2012. The oldest elasmosaurs (Sauropterygia, Plesiosauria) from Antarctica, Santa Marta Formation (upper Coniacian? Santonian–upper Campanian) and Snow Hill Island Formation (upper Campanian–lower Maastrichtian), James Ross Island. *Polar Research* 31 (1), 11090.
- O'Gorman, J.P., 2013. Plesiosauros del Cretácico Superior de Patagonia y Península Antártica, Tomos I y II. PhD thesis. Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina, p. 527. Unpublished.
- O'Gorman, J.P., Gasparini, Z., Salgado, L., 2013. Postcranial morphology of *Aristonectes* (Plesiosauria, Elasmosauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of Patagonia and Antarctica. *Antarctic Science* 25 (1), 71–82.
- O'Gorman, J.P., Santillana, S., Otero, R., Reguero, M., 2019. A giant elasmosaurid (Sauropterygia: Plesiosauria) from Antarctica: new information on elasmosaurid body size diversity and aristonectine evolutionary scenarios. *Cretaceous Research* 102, 37–58.
- O'Keefe, F.R., Hiller, N., 2006. Morphologic and Ontogenetic Patterns in Elasmosaur Neck Length, with Comments on the Taxonomic Utility of Neck Length Variables. *Paludicola* 5, 206–229.
- Otero, R.A., 2016. Taxonomic reassessment of *Hydralmosaurus* as *Styxosaurus*: new insights on the elasmosaurid neck evolution throughout the Cretaceous. *PeerJ* 4, e1777.
- Polcyn, M.J., Eitan, T., 1999. The Cretaceous biogeography of the eastern Mediterranean with a description of a new basal mosasauroid from Ein Yabrud, Israel. *National Science Museum Monographs* 15, 259–290.
- Rabinovich, R., Ginat, H., Schudack, M., Schudack, U., Ashkenazi-Polivoda, S., Rogolsky, G., 2015. A late Cretaceous elasmosaurid of the Tethys Sea margins (southern Negev, Israel), and its palaeogeographic reconstruction. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences* 94 (1), 73–86.
- Rieppel, O., Mazin, J.M., Tchernov, E., 1999. Sauropterygia from the Middle Triassic of Makhtesh Ramon, Negev, Israel. *Fieldiana (Geology)* n.s. 40, 1–85.
- Sachs, S., 2005. Redescription of *Elasmosaurus platyurus* Cope 1868 (Plesiosauria: Elasmosauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous (lower Campanian) of Kansas, USA. *Paludicola* 5 (3), 92–106.
- Sachs, S., Kear, B.P., 2015. Postcranium of the paradigm elasmosaurid plesiosaurian *Libonectes morgani* (Welles, 1949). *Geological Magazine* 152 (4), 694–710.
- Sachs, S., Kear, B.P., 2017. Redescription of the elasmosaurid plesiosaurian *Libonectes atlasense* from the Upper Cretaceous of Morocco. *Cretaceous Research* 74, 205–222.
- Sachs, S., Kear, B.P., Everhart, M.J., 2013. Revised vertebral count in the “long-necked vertebrate” *Elasmosaurus platyurus* Cope 1868, and clarification of the cervical-dorsal transition in Plesiosauria. *PLoS One* 8 (8), e70877.
- Sachs, S., Hornung, J.J., Kear, B.P., 2017. A new basal elasmosaurid (Sauropterygia: Plesiosauria) from the Lower Cretaceous of Germany. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 37 (4), e1301945.
- Sachs, S., Lindgren, J., Kear, B.P., 2018. Reassessment of the *Styxosaurus snowii* (Williston 1890) holotype specimen and its implications for elasmosaurid plesiosaurian interrelationships. *Alcheringa: An Australasian Journal of Palaeontology* 42 (4), 560–574.
- Sato, T., Hasegawa, Y., Manabe, M., 2006. A new elasmosaurid plesiosaur from the Upper Cretaceous of Fukushima, Japan. *Palaeontology* 49 (3), 467–484.
- Sciau, J., Crochet, J.-Y., Mattei, J., 1990. Le premier squelette de plésiosaure de France sur le Causse du Larzac (Toarcien, Jurassique inférieur). *Géobios* 23, 111–116.
- Seeley, H.G., 1874. On *Murænosaurus leedsii*, a Plesiosaurian from the Oxford Clay. Part I. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society* 30, 197–208.
- Storrs, G.W., 1999. An examination of Plesiosauria (Diapsida; Sauropterygia) from the Niobrara Chalk (Upper Cretaceous) of Central North America. *University of Kansas Paleontological Institute* 11, 1–15.
- Thomas, H., Roger, J., Halawani, M., Memesh, A., Lebret, P., Bourdillon, C., Buffetaut, E., Cappetta, H., Cavelier, C., Dutheil, D., Tong, H., Vaslet, D., 1999. Late Paleocene to Early Eocene marine vertebrates from the uppermost Aruma Formation (northern Saudi Arabia): implications for the K–T transition. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris* 329, 905–912.
- Tong, H., Hirayama, R., Makhoul, E., Escuillié, F., 2006. *Rhinochelys* (Cheloniodea: Protostegidae) from the Late Cretaceous (Cenomanian) of Nammoura, Lebanon. *Att Soc Ital Sci Nat Mus Civ Sto Nat Milan* 147, 113–138.
- Vickers-Rich, P., Rich, T.H., Rieppel, O., Thulborn, R.A., McClure, H.A., 1999. A Middle Triassic vertebrate fauna from the Jilh Formation, Saudi Arabia. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie – Abhandlungen* 213, 201–232.
- Vincent, P., Bardet, N., Suberbiola, X.P., Bouya, B., Amaghaz, M., Meslouh, S., 2011. *Zarafasaura oceanis*, a new elasmosaurid (Reptilia: Sauropterygia) from the Maastrichtian Phosphates of Morocco and the palaeobiogeography of latest Cretaceous plesiosaurs. *Gondwana Research* 19 (4), 1062–1073.
- Welles, S.P., 1943. *Elasmosaurid plesiosaurs with description of new material from California and Colorado. Memoirs of the University of California* 13, 125–254.
- Welles, S.P., 1949. A new elasmosaur from the Eagle Ford Shale of Texas. *Fondren Science Series, Southern Methodist University* 1, 1–28.
- Welles, S.P., 1952. A review of the North American Cretaceous elasmosaurs. *University of California Publications in Geological Sciences* 29, 46–144.
- Welles, S.P., 1962. A new species of elasmosaur from the Aptian of Colombia and a review of the Cretaceous plesiosaurs. *University of California Publications in Geological Sciences* 46, 1–96.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2024.105871>.